## **5.2 Phyllosilicates**

# 5. PHYLLOSILICATES (leaf, sheet) three O are shared Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, Si/O=2.5,

- Low specific density,
- One prominent cleavage,
- Contains OH group,
- Important part of soil clays.



(a) single silica tetrahedron;
(b) silica tetrahedron arranged in a hexagonal network;
(c) three hexagons joined into double chain and extends in all directions in this plane forming a tetrahedron sheet. Silicon and apical oxygens are projected onto the plane of the base of tetrahedron.



(d) A single octahedral unit; (e) Several octahedral units joined into the octahedral sheet structure, (H) and (I) combination of tetrahedron and octahedron combinations.

### The atomic radii of a number of ions common in clays.

Ion	Radius Å	Found in					
<b>Si</b> <sup>4+</sup>	0.41	Silica tetrahedra (ideal fit)					
Al <sup>3+</sup>	0.50	Silica tetrahedra (fits tight)					
<b>Fe</b> <sup>2+</sup>	0.74	Alumina octahedra (ideal fit)					
<b>Fe</b> <sup>3+</sup>	0.64	Alumina octahedra (fits tight)					
M g <sup>2+</sup>	0.65	// // // //					
C a <sup>2+</sup>	0.94	Do not fitOnly on exchange sites					
Na <sup>+</sup>	0.98						
<b>K</b> <sup>+</sup>	1.33						

### **BASIC STRUCTURE OF CLAY MINERALS**



## Sheets of Tetrahedron and Octahedron





Sketch of kaolinite, 1:1 dioctahedral phyllosilicate. (From Grim, 1968, Fig. 4.4).



Sketch of muscovite, a 2:1 dioctahedral phyllosilicate. (From Grim 1968, Fig. 4.16).





14

Plate 1–4. The octahedral sheet. (a) A trioctahedral sheet. (b) A dioctahedral sheet.



etrahedral sheet.







ate 1-7. Structural scheme of soil minerals based on octahedral and tetrahedral sheets.





Plate 1–8. Structural models of representatives of three other aluminosilicate mineral groups that occur frequently in soils. All three are drawn to the same scale.



Apical oxygens
OH group

**G. 18.29** Undistorted, hexagonal ring in an Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> sheet owing the location of apical oxygens and (OH) group. In an ideal eet structure the size of the triangles (outlined by shading) is the me as the size of triangular faces of  $XO_6$  octahedra.



Plate 1–9. Structural details of phyllosilicates as illustrated by the octahedral and tetrahedral sheets of muscovite. Figures were prepared from the single-crystal structural refinement data of Rothbauer (1971).



Plate 1–6. (a) Oblique view of the 1:1 layer structure illustrating the relationship between the tetrahedral and octahedral sheets. Note that adjacent apical oxygens of the tetrahedral sheet (arrows a) also define edges of octahedra in the octahedral sheet. Arrows b point to OHs that lie directly in the center of the hexagonal rings of tetrahedra, although they appear off-center in this oblique view. (b) Edge view of the 1:1 and 2:1 layer structures illustrating phyllosilicate nomenclature. (c) An alternate edge view that arises when the 2:1 structure above is rotated normal to the plane of the layer.







**>Talc Layer** 

Diagrammatic sketch of the structure of chlorite (after Grim)



Diagrammatic sketch of the vermiculite structure, showing layers of water molecules (after Grim)



Average structure of tetramethylammonium-exchanged vermiculite.

### **Mixed-layer illite/smectite**



## **Triangular diagrams describing 2:1 layer silicates as three-way solid solutions with layer charge and location of layer charge as variables.**



## **Triangular diagrams describing 2:1 layer silicates as three-way solid solutions with layer charge and location of layer charge as variables.**





Dioctahedral 2:1 layer silicates: some ideal end-member compositions for the  $O_{20}(OH)_4$  formula unit, and the compositional ranges for some micas, illites, illite-smectites and smectites.



**Kaolinite** structure showing probable breaking plane and mechanism for edge charge by picking up H or OH from solution to give positive charge at low pH and negative charge at higher pH.

#### **XRD** treatments of the common silicate clay minerals.

Mineral	00/	100% R.H.	Air-dry (≅40%)	Glycerolated	550°C	700°C
				II /II		n m
Ca-Montmorillonite	001	1.8		1.8		
Mg-Montmorillonite	001	1.8		1.8		
K-Montmorillonite	001			1.8		
Mg-Vermiculite	001	1.44		1.44		
K-Vermiculite	001	1.0		1.0		
Chlorite	001		1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Chlorite	002		0.7	0.7		
Chlorite	003		0.47	0.47		
Chlorite	004		0.355	0.355		
Muscovite	001	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Muscovite	002	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Kaolinite	001		0.72	0.72		
Kaolinite	002		0.36	0.36		

	Within s	silicate layers	Anions	Be	tween silicate layers	
	Tetrahe	dral cations		Cations <sup>‡</sup>	Hydroxide sheet cations	OH or H <sub>2</sub> O
1.	Kaolinit <b>e</b> Serpentine	Z <sub>4</sub> Z <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>8</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>8</sub>			nH <sub>2</sub> O <sup>§</sup>
2.	Pyrophyllite Talc	Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub>	O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>			
3.	Micas Brittle micas	Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub>	O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} X_2 \\ X_2 \\ X_2 \\ X_2 \\ X_2 \end{array}$		
4.	Chlorite	Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub>	O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> O <sub>20</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>		A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>6</sub> A <sub>6</sub>	(OH) <sub>12</sub> (OH) <sub>12</sub> (OH) <sub>12</sub>
5.	Smectite Vermiculite	Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>8</sub>	$O_{20}(OH)_4$ $O_{20}(OH)_4$ $O_{20}(OH)_4$ $O_{20}(OH)_4$	$\begin{array}{c} X_{0.5-1.2} \\ X_{0.5-1.2} \\ X_{1.2-1.9} \\ X_{1.2-1.9} \end{array}$		$nH_{2}O$ $nH_{2}O$ $nH_{2}O$ $nH_{2}O$
6.	Palygorskite Sepiolite	Z <sub>8</sub> Z <sub>12</sub>	$O_{20}(OH)_2(OH_2)_4$ $O_{30}(OH)_4(OH_2)_4$	X? X?		4H <sub>2</sub> O 8H <sub>2</sub> O

#### **Classification and generalized structural formulae of phyllosilicates**

‡ X represents a monovalent cation except in brittle micas where X is a divalent cation.

For kaolinites n=0; for the halloysite (7 Å and 10 Å) minerals n ranges from about 0.6 to about 4.

Following Bradley (1940); according to Gard and Follett (1968) the anions are  $O_{20}(OH)_3(OH_2)_3$ .

Mineral group	Nature of	Negative charge	Within silicate layers
	octaneural sheet(s)	per sincate layer	Octahedral cations
1. Kaolinite Serpentine	dioctahedral trioctahedral	0 0	Y <sub>4</sub> Y <sub>6</sub>
2. Pyrophyllite Talc	dioctahedral trioctahedral	0 0	Y <sub>4</sub> Y <sub>6</sub>
3. Micas Brittle micas	dioctahedral trioctahedral dioctahedral trioctahedral	2 2 4 4	Y <sub>4</sub> Y <sub>6</sub> Y <sub>4</sub> Y <sub>6</sub>
4. Chlorite	dioctahedral di,trioctahedral trioctahedral	variable variable variable	Y 4 Y 4 Y 6
5. Smectite Vermiculite	dioctahedral trioctahedral dioctahedral trioctahedral	0.5–1.2 0.5–1.2 1.2–1.9 1.2–1.9	Y <sub>4</sub> Y <sub>6</sub> Y <sub>4</sub> Y <sub>5</sub>
6. Palygorskite Sepiolite		????	Y <sub>4</sub> Y <sub>8</sub>

#### Nature of octahedral sheet and negative charge of phyllosilicates.

† Negative charge per formula unit is twice that given by Bailey *et al.* (1980a) because the formula unit used here applies to the contents of a volume defined by the *a b* unit cell base area that is one layer thick. For example for chlorites this volume is approximately  $5 \times 9 \times 14$  Å<sup>3</sup>, for palygorskite  $18 \times 5 \times 6.5$  Å<sup>3</sup>.

#### **Atoms per structural unit for clintonite**

	Oxide weight % Formula weight		Atoms	Equivalents	At	oms per stru	ctural uni	it <sup>†</sup>
		mula weight (%)	(%) -	Α	A Charge B Ch			
SiO <sub>2</sub>	19.38/60.0848	=	0.322 54	1.290 18	2.742	+ 10.966	2.725	+ 10.902
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.58/79.8988	=	0.007 26	0.02904	0.062	+ 0.247	0.061	+ 0.245
$Al_2 \bar{O}_3$	39.69 × 2/101.9612		0.778 53	2.335 59	6.617	+ 19.852	6.578	+19.735
$Fe_2O_3$	0.35 × 2/159.6922	==	0.004 38	0.013 15	0.037	+ 0.112	0.037	+ 0.111
FeO	1.48/71.8464	=	0.020 60	0.04120	0.175	+ 0.350	0.174	+ 0.348
CaO	12.72/56.0794		0.226 82	0.45364	1.928	+ 3.856	1.917	+ 3.833
MgO	20.99/40.3114		0.520 70	1.041 39	4.426	+ 8.852	4.400	+ 8.800
MnO	0.01/70.9374		0.000 14	0.000 28	0.001	+ 0.002	0.001	+ 0.002
SrO	0.14/103.6194	=	0.00135	0.002 70	0.011	+ 0.023	0.011	+ 0.023
				Partial sum 5.207 17		+44.260		+43.999
H <sub>2</sub> O	3.04 × 2/18.015 34	=	0.337 49	0.337 49	2.869	- 2.869	2.852	- 2.852
F	1.91/18.9984	=	0.100 54	0.100 54	0.855	- 0.855	0.850	- 0.850
Cl	0.07/35.453	=	0.00197	0.00197	0.017	- 0.017	0.017	- 0.017
0	·				20.259	-40.518	20.141	-40.282
				Total sum 5.647 17	24.000	-44.259	23.86	-44.001

† A obtained using scaling factor f = 48/5.64717 = 8.499833 for 24(O, OH, F, Cl). B obtained using scaling factor f' = 44/5.20717 = 8.449887 for 44 equivalents.  $[Si_{2.74} Al_{5.26}] [Al_{1.36} Fe_{0.04}^{3+} Fe_{0.18}^{2+} Mg_{4.43}] \Sigma 1.93$ Ca is divalent  $1.93 \ge 2 = 3.86$ Total cations ideal 8 Si x 4 = 32**4** Al or **6** Mg = 12**IDEAL**} **TOTAL cations 44 Tetrahedral charge deficit** - 5.26 **Octahedral charge excess** + 1.40 **Overall charge deficit** - 3.86  $(2.74 \times 4) + (5.26 \times 3) + (1.36 \times 3) (0.04 \times 3) + (0.18 \times 2) + (4.43 \times 2) =$ 10.96 + 15.78 + 4.08 + 0.12 + 0.36 + 8.86 = 40.16Actual total + 40.14; Charge Deficit - 3.86

### $C_i = 1000 \zeta /M$

- C<sub>i</sub>= potential interlamellar cation exchange capacity (meq/g)
- $\zeta$  = cation density, equivalent /mol for half or one unit cell.

M = Mean molecular mass (without exchangeable cations) calculated for typical composition, or the mineral with known chemical composition .



pH dependence of surface charge on allophane and montmorillonite, measured from the adsorption of cations and anions in 0.1 *M* CsCl, respectively. (Data taken from Greenland, 1974; Clark & McBride, 1984a).

layer charg	ge of 2:1 phy	llosilicate
Layer charge <sup>1</sup> per half unit	$\frac{M \text{ ean molecular}}{\text{ mass } (M)^2}$	Interlayer CEC
$cell [O_{10}(OH)_2]$	$[O_{10}(OH)_2]$	cmolkg <sup>-1</sup>
0.20-0.25	380	50-65
0.25-0.40	360	70-110
0.50-0.80	390	130-210
0.60-0.90	385	160-230
~ 1.00	450	~ 220
~ 1.00	390	~ 260
	layer charge <sup>1</sup> Layer charge <sup>1</sup> per half unit cell [O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ] 0.20-0.25 0.25-0.40 0.50-0.80 0.60-0.90 ~ 1.00 ~ 1.00	layer charge of 2:1 phy         Layer charge <sup>1</sup> Mean molecular         per half unit       mass (M) <sup>2</sup> cell [O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ]       [O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ]         0.20-0.25       380         0.25-0.40       360         0.50-0.80       390         0.60-0.90       385         ~ 1.00       450         ~ 1.00       390

<sup>1</sup> Without exchangeable cations and calculated for typical composition

- (Lagaly, 1994, corrected).
- <sup>2</sup> Formula weight.

(See A. R. Mermut.1994. Layer charge characteristics of 2:1 silicate caly minerals. CMS Workshop lectures vol. 6 CMS Society.

# Summary of the elemental composition of the source clay mineral

	KGa-1	K G a - 2	S W y - 2	SAz-1	<b>Syn-1</b>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	43.36	43.49	61.64	59.65	49.77
SE	0.39	0.19	1.29	0.16	0.32
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	38.58	38.14	22.05	19.98	38.56
SE	0.24	0.14	0.19	0.00	0.03
$\mathbf{Fe}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{3}$	0.35	1.15	4.37	1.77	0.12
SE	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.02
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.67	1.91	0.009	0.25	0.03
SE	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00
MgO	0.04	0.04	2.94	6.73	0.18
SE	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.00
CaO	0.04	0.03	1.18	3.15	0.05
SE	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.00
N <sub>2</sub> O	0.05	0.06	1.47	0.06	0.08
SE	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.02	0.20	0.19	0.00
SE	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00
$P_2O_5$	0.37	0.32	0.00	0.01	0.00
SE	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ignition loss 110-550°C	13.60	13.30	0.61	4.58	8.65
Ignition loss 550-1000°C	1.45	1.73	5.15	3.59	2.18
Total	99.51	100.19	99.52	99.95	99.61

#### (Mermut and Faz-Cano, 2001; Clays and Clay Minerals); SE standard error

Structural formula of the source clay minerals									
	KGa-1	KGa-2	S W y - 2	<b>SAz-1</b>	<b>Syn-1</b>				
Si	3.85	3.84	7.89	7.86	6.50				
Al	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.14	1.50				
$\Sigma$ tet.	4.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	8.00				
Al	3.88	3.80	3.23	2.96	4.44				
Ti	0.10	0.13		0.02					
<b>F</b> e <sup>3+</sup>	0.02	0.07	0.42	0.18	0.01				
M g			0.56	1.32	0.04				
Σoct.	4.00	4.00	4.21	4.48	4.49				
C a	0.005	0.005	0.52	0.88	0.007				
N a	0.009	0.01	0.14	0.01	0.01				
K		0.002	0.01	0.05					

(Mermut and Faz-Cano, 2001; Clays and Clay Minerals)



Influence of exchangeable cations on permeability of different clays.

Edge-view sketches to show relative size and shape of clay particles; dimension not shown is equal to length.





HIGH RESOLUTION TEM MICROGRAPHS OF

Palygorskite



Diffraction patterns of ethylene glycol treated samples of montmorillonite, and randomly interstratified illite/montmorillonite. Percentages of montmorillonite layers: A, 100; B, 70; C, 50; and D, 40 (Reynolds and Hower, 1970).



Species	Ideal formula†	Observed formula‡
the sufficient of	Kaolin subgrou	1p
Kaolinite	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>
Dickite	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>
Nacrite	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>
Halloysite (7Å)	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>
Halloysite (10Å)	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> •2H <sub>2</sub> O	Al <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> •2H <sub>2</sub> O
	Serpentine subgroup (unmodu	ulated members)
Chrysotile	Mg <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	$(Mg_{2.93}Fe^{3+}_{0.04}Fe^{2+}_{0.05}) \\ (Si_{1.95}Al_{0.05})O_{5}(OH)_{4}$
Lizardite	[Mg <sub>2.8</sub> Al <sub>0.2</sub> ](Si <sub>1.8</sub> Al <sub>0.2</sub> )O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	(Mg <sub>2,12</sub> Al <sub>0.88</sub> ) (Si <sub>1,12</sub> Al <sub>0.88</sub> )O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>
Amesite	[(Mg,Fe <sup>2+</sup> ) <sub>2</sub> Al](SiAl)O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	$[(Mg_{1.60}Fe^{2+}_{0.4})Al] (SiAl)O_5(OH)_4$
Berthierine	[(Fe <sup>2+</sup> ,Mn <sup>2+</sup> ,Mg) <sub>2,55-x0,15x</sub> §	$(Mg_{0,23}Al_{0,84}Fe^{3+}_{0,01}Fe^{2+}_{1,84})$
	(Fe <sup>3+</sup> ,Al) <sub>0,3+x</sub> ] (Si <sub>2-x</sub> Al <sub>x</sub> )O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>	(Si1 32Al0 68)O5(OH)4
Odinite	$[(Fe^{2+},Mn^{2+},Mg)_{2,5-r}]$	$(Mg_{0.77}Fe^{2+}0.28Mn_{0.15}Fe^{3+}0.78Al_{0.56}Ti_{0.02})$
	$(Fe^{3+},Al)_{x}](Si,Al)_{2}O_{5}(OH)_{4}$	(Si <sub>1.79</sub> Al <sub>0.21</sub> )O <sub>5</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub>
	Serpentine subgroup (modul	ated members)
Antigorite	$m[Mg_{3(1-1/m)}Si_2O_5(OH)_{1+3(1-2/m)}]$	$(Mg_{2,77}Al_{0,07}Fe^{3+}_{0,03}Fe^{2+}_{0,10})Si_{2}O_{5}(OH)_{4}$
Carlosturanite	(Mg,Fe <sup>2+</sup> ,Mn,Ti,Cr) <sub>21</sub>	$(Mg_{18,89}Fe^{2+}_{1,08}Ti_{0,55}Mn_{0,18}Cr^{3+}_{0,06})$
	[(Si,Al) <sub>12</sub> O <sub>28</sub> (OH) <sub>4</sub> ]	$[(Si_{11,46}Al_{0,41})O_{28}]$
	(OH) <sub>30</sub> •H <sub>2</sub> O	(OH) <sub>4</sub> ](OH) <sub>30</sub> •H <sub>2</sub> O
Bementite	Mn <sub>5</sub> Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>6</sub>	

examples are highlighted in bold fonts.

† Ideal formulas are from Bailey (1980, 1988), Brown (1984), and Guggenheim and Eggleton (1988).

‡ Observed formulas are from the JCPDS files (1983), Bailey (1988), Compagnoni et al. (1985), and Guggenheim and Eggleton (1988).

§ represents empty octahedral sites.



Fig. 12–1. Kaolinite and halloysite morphology. (a) Kaolinite from a Cretaceous limestone of Central Texas with a spiral growth step (arrow). (b) Thin, hexagonal kaolinite plates from a Katy Alfisol of southeast Texas. (c) Commercial grade kaolinite from Central Georgia composed of large vermiforms and smaller, complex aggregates. (d) Kaolinite vermiform (right) forming using muscovite (left) as a template from a Florida Spodosol (White & Dixon, 1997). (e) Tubular halloysite from saprolite beneath an Ultisol of the Alabama Piedmont. (f) An unusually uniform tubular halloysite from Australia with thin walls and fibers; aspect ratio 1:1200.



Plate 12–1. Structural diagram for kaolinite generated from the data of Young and Hewat (1988) with the edge sites predicted by White and Zelazny (1988).



Plate 12–2. Effects of pH on the charge of the kaolinite edge that is affected by pH (modified from White and Zelazny, 1988). Color code for model is same as for Plates 12–1 and 12–3.



Plate 12–3. Calculated serpentine subgroup minerals structural schemes. (a) Lizardite - 1T from the data of Mellini and Viti (1994). (b) Amesite from the models of Hall and Bailey (1979) and Giese (1980).
(c) Ball-and-stick model of the modulated trioctahedral 1:1 phyllosilicate, bementite, from the Heinrich et al. (1994) model. (d) Polyhedral model of bementite showing the wave pattern of the octahedral sheet.



Fig. 12–2. X-ray powder diffraction curves for two specimen kaolinites, the kaolinitic clay from the Katy soil (Fig. 12–1b), and halloysite showing the range in expression of the prismatic peaks in the 0.45-to 0.35-nm region. The two truncated peaks on the soil kaolinite pattern represent quartz.

#### 3. Morphology

Serpentines occur in three principal forms: platy, lath-like, and fibrous (Sudo et al., 1981). The edges are either irregular or bound by an apparent cleavage plane. Plates and laths are the principal morphologies for all members of the sub-



Fig. 12–3. Morphologies of serpentine subgroup minerals. (a) Modulated serpentine group mineral from the coarse clay fraction of a Honduran Inceptisol. (b) Higher magnification view of a portion of the modulated mineral grain outlined by the square in (a) showing the lattice fringes measuring 3.8 nm. (c) Serpentine lath containing Mg, Fe, and Cr from the Nipe Oxisol from Puerto Rico (White & Dixon, 1997). (d) Antigorite laths with characteristic rectangular terminations. (Source: Antigorio Valley, Italy; USNM # R-4648 provided by S. Guggenheim, Chicago, IL). (e) Serpentine occluded by Fe oxides from the Nipe soil clay. (f) Higher magnification view of the area of the particle in (e) outlined by the rectangle showing lattice fringes of serpentine.





	<u>4</u>	Ideal f	ormula		Representative observed formula‡			
Mineral and reference†	Interlayer	Octahedral	Tetrahedral	Anions	Interlayer	Octahedral	Tetrahedral	Anions
	1			Di	octahedral			
Pyrophyllite (1)		Al <sub>2</sub>	Si <sub>4</sub>	O10(OH)2	$M_{0.01}^{+}$ §	Al <sub>2.01</sub> Fe <sup>2+</sup> <sub>0.01</sub> Mg <sub>0.02</sub>	Si <sub>3.88</sub> Al <sub>0.12</sub>	O10(OH)2
Ferripyrophyllite (2)		Fe <sub>2</sub> <sup>3+</sup>	Si <sup>4</sup>	O10(OH)2	$M_{0.10}^{+}$	$Fe_{1.87}^{3+}Mg_{0.11}Al_{0.09}$	$Si_{3.80}Fe_{0.16}^{3+}Al_{0.04}$	O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>
				Tri	octahedral			
Talc (1)	-	Mg <sub>3</sub>	Si <sub>4</sub>	O10(OH)2	$M_{0.02}^{+}$	$Mg_{2.89}M_{0.03}^{3+}M_{0.10}^{2+}$	Si <sub>3.97</sub> Al <sub>0.03</sub>	O10(OH)2
Willemseite (3)	-	Ni <sub>3</sub>	Si <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>	$M^{+}_{0.04}$	$Ni_{2,11}M_{0,80}^{2+}M_{0,10}^{3+}$	Si <sub>3.93</sub> Al <sub>0.07</sub>	O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>
Minnesotaite (3)¶		Fe <sub>3</sub> <sup>2+</sup>	Si <sub>4</sub>	O10(OH)2	$M_{0.01}^{+}$	$\mathrm{Fe}_{2,16}^{2+}M_{0,71}^{2+}M_{0,12}^{3+}$	Si <sub>3.93</sub> Al <sub>0.07</sub>	O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>
Kerolite (4)	xH <sub>2</sub> O#	Mg <sub>3</sub>	Si <sub>4</sub>	O10(OH)2	$M_{0.04}^{+}$ •xH <sub>2</sub> O	$Mg_{2.98}M_{0.02}^{2+}$	Si <sub>3.96</sub> Al <sub>0.04</sub>	O <sub>10</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub>
Pimelite (4)	xH <sub>2</sub> O	Ni <sub>3</sub>	Si <sub>4</sub>	O10(OH)2	xH <sub>2</sub> O	Ni <sub>2.90</sub> M <sup>2+</sup> <sub>0.10</sub>	Si <sub>4</sub>	O10(OH)2

Table 13-1. Theoretical and representative observed formulas for members of the pyrophyllite-talc group.

† References for observed formulas: (1) Koster (1982), (2) Coey et al. (1984), (3) De Waal (1970), and (4) derived from observed formulas in Brindley et al. (1979).

 $\ddagger$  Discrepancy in 22 charge basis of observed formulas for pyrophyllite, talc, willemseite, and minnesotaite maybe due to rounding errors or presence of impurities.  $\S M =$  metal cation of valency given.

¶ Minnesotaite is included here but may be placed more appropriately with modulated layer structures (Martin et al., 1991).

# Location of H<sub>2</sub>O in all kerolite and pimelite structures is likely on external surfaces as well as within interlayer. x = value ranging from 0.8 to 1.2 (Brindley et al., 1979).



Fig. 13-1. Worldwide distribution of reported pyrophyllite and talc in geologic and soil settings.



Fig. 13–2. X-ray powder diffraction patterns (modified from Harris et al., 1984): (a) for oriented mounts of clay, silt, and ground sand fractions from different depths of a Virginia Piedmont Ultisol. Talc is identified by peaks at 0.94 (001) and 0.267 (003) nm; (b) for the Bt1 silt fraction of a random and oriented mount at room temperature and for an oriented mount after heating to 550°C for 9 h. In a random mount, talc can also be identified by the 0.153- (060) nm peak. Also observe that the 0.94and 0.267-nm peaks for talc are stable after heating to 550°C, whereas kaolinite (0.72 nm) is destroyed.



Fig. 13–3. Characterization of the Bt1 silt fraction (modified from Harris et al., 1984) by: (a) Thermal gravimetric analysis. Observe weight loss from 840 to 1000°C, which is equivalent to 14% talc. The large weight loss from 400 to 700°C is equivalent to 56% kaolinite. (b) Infrared analysis at room temperature and after heating to 550°C for 9 h. Observe talc peak at 3679 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is small at room temperature, but persists after heating to 550°C. The kaolinite peaks at 3696 and 3623 cm<sup>-1</sup> are large at room temperature but are lost after heating to 550°C.

### **Criteria for Classification**

- 1. Type of tetrahedra octahedra sheet combination,
- 2. Types and amounts of cations in octehedral sheets dioktahedral, trioktahedral,
- 3. Layer Charge,
- 4. Type of interlayer cations,
- 5. Overall chemical composition,

6. Polytypes (layer stacking) and nature of stacking.



## **Origin and Distribution**

- They are found in the outer layer of of earth,
- Results of the mineral alteration with hydrosphere,
- Occurs in < 500°C environment,
- Soils, sedimentary rocks are the most abundant, shales is the most common





Mermut, A. R and H. Kodama. 1999. Clay Mineralogy of Canadian soils. Proceed. 11th International Clay conference, Ottawa. Published ICC 97 Org. Com.